

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,507	03/01/2004	Albert Pierce	14435-004001/ VPI/03-12	14435-004001/ VPI/03-12 1051	
26161	7590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			ZHOU, SHUBO		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1631		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/31/2006	DATE MAIL ED: 07/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			PIERCE ET AL.				
		10/790,507					
		Examiner Charles 71	Art Unit				
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631	Idraee			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a) <u></u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)☐ 6)☐ 7)☐ 8)☒ <b>Applicati</b> 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or con Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the path of designation is abjected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the path of designation is abjected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the path of designation is abjected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct than any objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet sheet and the sheet	wn from consideration.  election requirement.  er.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice (3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,507

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction/Election Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-39 and 42-44, drawn to a method and system for generating ouput ligands, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
  - II. Claims 40-41, drawn to a database, classified in Class 707, subclass 1.

The inventions of groups I-II are independent/distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of group I and group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the invention of group I is drawn to a method for comprising providing a set of models, mapping for ligand and target complex, mapping spatial relationships between the models, identifying one or more pairs of matching bonds, and generating output ligands, whereas the invention of group II is drawn to a database comprising a plurality of records of output ligands. While the output ligands can be generated by the method of group I, the database, per se is not the result of the process of group I. The database of group II is not disclosed as capable of use together with the method of group I.

Because these inventions are independent/distinct for the reasons given above and have different classifications, they have different fields of search. Searing the database of group II includes searching all the records comprising 3D models including those determined by other

Application/Control Number: 10/790,507

Art Unit: 1631

methods or by physical observations. Thus, the searches for the two groups are not coextensive, and there would be a serious search burden if they were examined together. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shubo (Joe) Zhou, whose telephone number is 571-272-0724. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of

document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Shubo (Joe) Zhou, Ph.D. Shullhu

Patent Examiner